

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,479	07/21/2003	Tsuyoshi Shibata	01272.020610	4521	
5514	7590 01/25/2005		EXAM	INER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			HUFFMAN,	HUFFMAN, JULIAN D	
30 ROCKEF	ELLER PLAZA , NY 10112	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2853		
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H-A		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, ,		
Office Antice Comment	10/622,479	SHIBATA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Julian D. Huffman	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matte				
Disposition of Claims			•		
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	• •	l.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap nity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	C				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/5/04.12/12/03. 	_	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/622,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 16 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities:

It is not clear how a influencing nozzle can include adjoining nozzles.

With regards to claim 22, the language "such as" is indefinite.

Appropriate correction is required.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al. (U.S. 5,838,342).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,479

Art Unit: 2853

Takahashi et al. discloses an ink jet printing apparatus which forms an image on a print medium by moving a print head (fig. 5, element 24) having an array of nozzles (fig. 15, n) and the print medium relative to each other and at the same time ejecting ink droplets from the nozzles according to print data of the image to be printed, the ink jet printing apparatus comprising:

a nozzle information generation means (CPU 102) for generating nozzle information representing an ejection characteristic of each nozzle (column 7, lines 56-59) according to a landing state on the print medium of the ink droplets ejected from each nozzle (column 1, lines 39-43);

an estimation means (102) for estimating, based on the nozzle information generated by the nozzle information generation means and the print data, an effect that the ink droplet ejected from each nozzle has on the image to be formed (column 19, lines 55-58);

a correction information means (102) for generating correction information to correct an ink ejection condition of each nozzle according to a result of estimation by the estimation means (column 19, line 66-column 20, line 8) and

a control means for controlling a driving of the nozzles according to the print data and the correction information (102).

With regards to claim 2, the nozzle information generation means determines, as the nozzle information representing the ejection characteristic of each nozzle, an amount of deviation between an ideal landing position on the print medium of the ink droplet ejected from each nozzle of the print head and an actual landing position of the

Application/Control Number: 10/622,479

Art Unit: 2853

ink droplet on the print medium (column 1, lines 31-43, the nozzle information generation means determines the density, which represents a variation in landing position of droplets from an ideal position).

With regards to claims 4 and 5, the estimation means at least analyzes a component affecting a print density on the print medium, wherein the component is a range of print area to be printed by the ink dot and an area overrunning the range of print area (column 19, lines 3-8, fig. 28).

With regards to claim 6, the correction information generation means generates, based on an estimated result from the estimation means, the correction information to correct the ink ejection conditions of nozzles unable to produce an ideal landing state (column 20, lines 1-8).

With regards to claims 7, 8, 10 and 11, Takahashi et al. also discloses a method involving performing the functions outlined above as steps for forming an image in an ink jet printer.

With regards to claims 12 and 13, Takahashi et al. discloses an ink jet printing apparatus which forms an image on a print medium by moving a print head having an array of nozzles and the print medium relative to each other and at the same time ejecting ink droplets from the nozzles according to print data of the image to be printed, the ink jet printing apparatus comprising:

a grayscale correction means (102) for performing an ink dot grayscale correction according to density difference data representing a density difference

Application/Control Number: 10/622,479

Art Unit: 2853

between an actual density of an ink dot formed on the print medium and an ideal density

of the dot (column 12, lines 49-67 and column 20, lines 60-65);

a deviation correction means for performing a dot deviation correction based on deviation data representing an amount of deviation, or a difference, between an actual landing position of an ink dot formed on the print medium by the ink droplets ejected from each nozzle and an ideal landing position of the ink dot (102); and

a control means for selectively causing the deviation correction means to execute the dot deviation correction according to the amount of deviation and for controlling the grayscale correction means and the deviation correction means according to at least the density different and the amount of deviation (102).

With regards to claims 23 and 24, Takahashi et al. discloses a method involving performing the functions outlined above as steps for forming an image in an ink jet printer.

With regards to claim 14, when the amount of deviation of an ink dot of interest is found to be greater than a predetermined value, the control means causes the deviation correction means to execute the dot deviation correction (when the value is greater than an average value, correction is performed, column 19, lines 54-63).

With regards to claim 15, the deviation correction means corrects an ink ejection condition of an influencing nozzle that adversely affects the ink dot of interest in landing on the ideal landing position (column 20, lines 4-8).

With regards to claim 16, the influencing nozzle includes at least one of a nozzle for ejecting an ink droplet to form the ink dot of interest and adjoining nozzles (column 21, lines 8-13).

With regards to claims 17 and 18, Takahashi et al. teaches that when the amount of deviation exceeds 10% or 25% of a nozzle pitch, the control means causes the deviation correction means to execute the dot deviation correction (Takahashi et al. corrects errors which cause a difference in density, a deviation greater than 10% or 25% causes a deviation in density, for example, an error of 1,000% would read on the claims and would surely be corrected by Takahashi et al.).

With regards to claim 19, the grayscale correction means corrects, based on the density data, an ink ejection condition of a nozzle corresponding to the density data (column 20, lines 4-8).

With regards to claims 20 and 21, the deviation correction means/grayscale correction means increases or decreases a volume of ink droplet ejected from the influencing nozzle according to the amount of deviation (column 20, lines 66-67, changing drive pulse or width affects the drop size).

With regards to claim 22, the control means controls the deviation correction means and/or the grayscale correction means according to nozzle information representing an ejection characteristic of each nozzle (density data represents an ejection characteristic of the nozzle), such as an ink ejection enable/disable decision for each nozzle and a size and/or shape of an ink dot.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,479 Page 7

Art Unit: 2853

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al. in view of Shioya (PGPUB 2001-0003458 A1).

Takahashi et al. discloses everything claimed with the exception of determining an ink ejection enable/disable decision for each nozzle.

Shioya discloses determining an ink ejection enable/disable decision for each nozzle based on a density difference (fig. 9, step S904). If a density difference is large, a disable decision is made and a complementary printing process is performed, while if the density difference is small, ink volume change is made to correct the density difference.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Shioya into the invention of Takahashi et al. The reason for doing such would have been to enable an image of good quality to be produced even when a nozzle has failed to such a degree that a density difference cannot be corrected through drop volume modulation (page 8, section 0104 and 0082).

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian D. Huffman whose telephone number is (571)272-2147. The examiner can generally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier, can be reached at (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

J V.

JH

January 13, 2005

 C_{Γ}

Thinh Nguyen Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800